REMARKS

Claims 1-17 were pending and under consideration.

In the Office Action of July 28, 2004, claims 1-17 were rejected, mainly based on the teachings of Yuasa, et al, USP 6,252,624. In various rejections for obviousness, reliance was had on Moselely, et al., USP 5,993,0004 and Lazzaro, et al., USP 6,456,432. All of the rejections are traversed, particularly in view of the amendment presented above in which claims 1-17 have been replaced with claims 18-31.

In that regard, claim 18, requires a system capable of distinguishing an image based on a unique characteristic of the light emanating from a display or common-image forming means, as well as two or more image-pick-ups with a shutter system associated with same. Filter systems serve to impart the unique characteristic and filter reception of the image based on the unique characteristic. Claim 30 is somewhat similar to claim 18 but uses different wording. Claim 31 is more limited to a pair of spectacles having a shutter system and a filter system capable of filtering based on the unique characteristic.

Regardless of what Yuasa, et al. might teach, Yuasa et al, fails to provided for (1) the separation of the image into left and right objects and (2) a shutter system for selective reception of the left and right objects. Moseley et al and Lazzaro et al fail to provide for two or greater receivers or image pick-ups with separate shutters and the claimed filtering capability. There is no suggestion among these references to provide the systems of claims 18 and 30 or the apparatus of claim 31 having all of the claimed features and capabilities. In the present invention, these features serve to isolate the image of concern (and/or sub-objects) from the light outside of the image, and also enable manipulation of the image without interfering with imagery outside of the image of concern.

It is submitted that neither Yuasa et al, nor the other references relied upon by the examiner, fairly teach or suggest the claimed combination of features, or of any system that can achieve a similar purpose.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 18-34 are patentable, and that the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

David R. Metzger

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P. O. Box 061080

Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080

Telephone (312) 876 8000

Customer No. 26263